

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/673,116 09/29/2003 Darren James Zellak RI92403 9839 07/14/2004 EXAMINER Martin Faier, Faier & Faier P.C. CHIN, PAUL T 566 W. Adams St. #600 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER Chicago, IL 60661 3652

DATE MAILED: 07/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.		Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/673,116		ZELLAK, DARREN JAMES
	Examiner		Art Unit
	PAUL T. CHIN		3652
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reg. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however ply within the statutory minim if will apply and will expire SI te, cause the application to b	er, may a reply be tim num of thirty (30) days X (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	September 2003.		
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	is action is non-final		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims			
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-20 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>			
Application Papers			
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin  10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>23 September 2003</u> is  Applicant may not request that any objection to the  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre  11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	s/are: a)⊠ accepted e drawing(s) be held ir ction is required if the	n abeyance. See drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer  2. Certified copies of the priority documer  3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri  application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been receiv nts have been receiv ority documents hav au (PCT Rule 17.2(a	/ed. /ed in Application /e been receive (a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)	<b>"</b> □.	standay Survey	(PTO 412)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) D N	nterview Summary aper No(s)/Mail Da lotice of Informal Pa ther:	
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04) Office A	Action Summary	Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 07062004

Art Unit: 3652

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

### Claim Objections

2. Claims 4,8,18 and 20 are objected to because of the following informalities: in claim 4, line 2, the word "a" before "engaging lug" should be changed to -- an --; in claim 8, line 1, and claim 18, line 1, the word "a" before "engagement" should be changed to -- an --; and in claim 20, line 1, the word "a" before "end" should be changed to -- an --. Appropriate correction is required.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Art Unit: 3652

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

4. Claims 2-6,9,13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fisher (4,552,039).

Fisher (4,552,039) discloses an apparatus and method comprising a sleeve (56) having a griper channel, which is a hole through channel and a slot (74) (Fig. 1), a gripper (24,26) slidably mounted in the gripper channel having a handle (24) end and fingers (20,22) at the distal end, the fingers form a slot (Fig. 1), which can be considered as a connector slot, capable of engaging and the fingers (20,22) are substantially drawn into the sleeve. Re claims 4,5, and 9, Fisher (4,552,039) substantially shows an engaging lug (Fig. 1) and the two opposing fingers are a non-slip grip, and a substantially rounded connector slot capable of engaging a substantially rounded connector. It is pointed out that Fisher's apparatus (4,552,039) contains all the structural elements as recited in the above claims while the intended use (i.e. to engage a connector in a connector slot of a connector) and the functional limitation (i.e. capable of engaging a cable connecter) are not patentably significant and it appears that the fingers of Fisher's apparatus (4,552,039) would be capable of engaging a rounded shaped object or a rectangular shaped object.

Application/Control Number: 10/673,116

Art Unit: 3652

5. Claims 1,2,4-6, and 8-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Poiencot, Jr. (6,571,505).

Poiencot, Jr. (6,571,505) discloses an apparatus and method comprising a sleeve (60) having a griper channel (Fig. 2), a gripper (17) (Fig. 1) *slidably* mounted in the gripper channel having a handle (22,24) end and fingers (40,42) at the distal end, the fingers form a substantially slot (Fig. 7), which can be considered as a connector slot, capable of engaging and the fingers (40,42) are substantially drawn into the sleeve.

Re claim 1, Poiencot, Jr. (6,571,505) further shows external stops (36,38) preventing the gripper from sliding through the sleeve.

Re claims 4,5, and 9, Fisher (4,552,039) substantially shows an engaging lug (44,46). (Fig. 7) or non-slip grip, and the two opposing fingers are a non-slip grip, and a substantially rounded connector slot capable of engaging a substantially rounded connector.

Re claim 10, Poiencot, Jr. device (6,571,505) is being made of plastic (Col2, lines 23-29). Re claim 15, Poiencot, Jr. device (6,571,505) shows a finger rest (51) (Fig. 7). Re claim 20, Poiencot, Jr. device (6,571,505) shows en end of each finger having a plurality of gripping ridges (44,46). It is pointed out that Poiencot, Jr. device (6,571,505) contains all the structural elements as recited in the above claims while the intended use (i.e. to engage a connector in a connector slot of a connector) and the functional limitation (i.e. capable of engaging a cable connecter) are not patentably significant and it appears that the fingers of Poiencot, Jr. device would be capable of engaging a rounded shaped object or a rectangular shaped object.

Art Unit: 3652

# Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claim 7 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's 7. disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to PAUL T. CHIN whose telephone number is (703) 305-1524. The examiner can normally be reached on MON-THURS (7:30 -6:00 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, EILEEN LILLIS can be reached on (703) 308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). PAUL T. CHIN Paul Chin Examiner

Art Unit 3652